

Nation of New York has identified the belt as the Six Nations Council belt and has requested its repatriation as an object having ongoing importance central to the tribe itself which could not have been alienated, appropriated, or conveyed by any individual.

Based on the above mentioned information, officials of the Field Museum have determined that, pursuant to 25 U.S.C. 3001 (2), there is a relationship of shared group identity which can be reasonably traced between this belt and the Oneida Indian Nation of New York. Officials of the Field Museum also recognize that the belt is of ongoing importance central to the Oneida Indian Nation but assert that the museum has right of possession of the belt. The Field Museum however, is willing to return the belt under a compromise of repatriation claim.

The Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin have also claimed this belt in a letter dated October 12, 1994. Representatives of any other Indian tribe that believes itself to be culturally affiliated with this object should contact Jonathan Haas, MacArthur Curator of North American Anthropology, The Field Museum of Natural History, Roosevelt Road at Lake Shore Drive, Chicago, IL 60605, telephone: (312) 922-9410, extension 641, before March 31, 1995.

Dated: February 23, 1995.

Francis P. McManamon,

Departmental Consulting Archeologist,
Chief, Archeological Assistance Division.
[FR Doc. 95-5043 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-F

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-287 and 731-TA-378 (Final) (Remand)]

Certain Electrical Conductor Aluminum Redraw Rod From Venezuela

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice of remand determination.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of a final court decision affirming its final negative determinations, made pursuant to court remand, in the above-identified antidumping and countervailing duty investigations.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Andrea C. Casson, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street, S.W., Washington, DC 20436; (202) 205-3105. Hearing-impaired individuals are advised that information on this matter

can be obtained by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal at (202) 205-1810.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

In August 1988, the Commission published its determinations that an industry of the United States was threatened with material injury by reason of imports of electrical conductor aluminum redraw rod ("EC rod") from Venezuela which the Department of Commerce ("Commerce") had determined are being subsidized and sold at less than fair value. 53 F.R. 31111 (Aug. 17, 1988); *Certain Electrical Conductor Aluminum Redraw Rod from Venezuela*, Invs. Nos. 701-TA-287 and 731-TA-378 (Final), USITC Pub. 2103 (Aug. 1988). *Suramerica de Aleaciones Laminadas, C.A.*, a Venezuelan exporter of EC rod, and others, sought review of those final determinations in the Court of International Trade (CIT).

On March 15, 1993, the CIT issued an opinion and order, finding that the Commission's final affirmative threat determinations were unsupported by substantial evidence and otherwise not in accordance with law. The CIT reversed and remanded the Commission's original determinations. *Suramerica de Aleaciones Laminadas, C.A. v. United States*, 818 F. Supp. 348 (CIT 1993). In compliance with the CIT's remand order, the Commission, on June 2, 1993, issued final remand determinations finding that an industry in the United States was not threatened with material injury by reason of imports of the subject EC rod. *Certain Electrical Conductor Aluminum Redraw Rod from Venezuela*, Invs. Nos. 701-TA-287 and 731-TA-378 (Final) (Remand), USITC Pub. 2860 (Feb. 1995).

The CIT sustained the remand determinations. *Suramerica de Aleaciones Laminadas, C.A. v. United States*, 841 F. Supp. 1220 (Aug. 4, 1993). Commerce published notice of the CIT's decision, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(c)(1). 58 FR 52744 (Oct. 12, 1993). In accordance with *Timken Co. v. United States*, 893 F.2d 337 (Fed. Cir. 1990), Commerce stated that it would continue the suspension of liquidation of the subject merchandise. Commerce's notice also indicated that, if the CIT decision was affirmed on appeal, the antidumping and countervailing duty orders would be revoked effective August 14, 1993.

The Commission and petitioner Southwire, Inc. appealed the CIT's decision to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit. On December 30, 1994, the Court of Appeals affirmed the CIT's final judgment upholding the Commission's negative remand

determinations. *Suramerica v. United States*, Nos. 93-1579 and 94-1021 (Fed. Cir. Dec. 30, 1994). Southwire filed in the Court of Appeals a petition for rehearing and suggestion for rehearing in banc. On February 13, 1995, the court denied Southwire's petition for rehearing and declined the suggestion for rehearing in banc. On February 17, 1995, the Court of Appeals issued its mandate. The judicial proceedings having ended and the final court decision having been issued, the Commission now, pursuant to 19 U.S.C. 1516a(e), publishes notice of the final court decision affirming its negative remand determinations.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 23, 1995.

Donna R. Koehnke,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5000 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

[Investigations Nos. 701-TA-362 and 731-TA-707 through 709 (Final)]

Certain Seamless Carbon and Alloy Standard, Line, and Pressure Steel Pipe From Argentina, Brazil, Germany, and Italy

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Institution and scheduling of final antidumping investigations and scheduling of the ongoing countervailing duty investigation.

SUMMARY: The Commission hereby gives notice of the institution of final antidumping investigations Nos. 731-TA-707 through 709 (Final) under section 735(b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1673d(b)) (the Act) to determine whether an industry in the United States is materially injured, or is threatened with material injury, or the establishment of an industry in the United States is materially retarded, by reason of imports of certain seamless carbon and alloy standard, line, and pressure steel pipe¹ from Argentina, Brazil, and Germany.² Such imports are

¹ The imports subject to investigation are seamless carbon and alloy (other than stainless) steel pipes, of circular cross-section, not more than 114.3mm (4.5 inches) in outside diameter, regardless of wall thickness, manufacturing process (hot-finished or cold-drawn), end finish (plain end, bevelled end, upset end, threaded, or threaded and coupled), or surface finish. The subject imports are further defined in the U.S. Department of Commerce's notices of preliminary determinations of sales at less than fair value (60 FR 5348, January 27, 1995).

² Because Commerce's preliminary antidumping duty determination regarding Italy was negative, the Commission is not instituting a final investigation for Italy (No. 731-TA-710) at this time.

provided for in subheadings 7304.10.10, 7304.10.50, 7304.31.60, 7304.39.00, 7304.51.50, 7304.59.60, and 7304.59.80 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule of the United States. The Commission also gives notice of the schedule to be followed in these antidumping investigations and the ongoing countervailing duty investigation regarding imports of small diameter seamless carbon and alloy standard, line, and pressure steel pipe from Italy (Inv. No. 701-TA-362 (Final)), which the Commission instituted effective November 28, 1994 (60 FR 2984, January 12, 1995). The schedules for the subject investigations will be identical, pursuant to Commerce's alignment of its final subsidy and dumping determinations (59 FR 66296, December 23, 1994).

For further information concerning the conduct of these investigations, hearing procedures, and rules of general application, consult the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, part 201, subparts A through E (19 CFR part 201), and part 207, subparts A and C (19 CFR part 207).

EFFECTIVE DATE: January 27, 1995.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Diane J. Mazur (202-205-3184), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission, 500 E Street SW., Washington, DC 20436. Hearing-impaired persons can obtain information on this matter by contacting the Commission's TDD terminal on 202-205-1810. Persons with mobility impairments who will need special assistance in gaining access to the Commission should contact the Office of the Secretary at 202-205-2000. Information can also be obtained by calling the Office of Investigations' remote bulletin board system for personal computers at 202-205-1895 (N,8,1).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The subject antidumping investigations are being instituted as a result of affirmative preliminary determinations by the Department of Commerce (60 FR 5348, January 27, 1995) that imports of small diameter seamless carbon and alloy standard, line, and pressure steel pipe from Argentina, Brazil, and Germany are being sold in the United States at less than fair value within the meaning of section 733 of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1673b). Commerce has also extended the date for its final determinations in the investigations from April 12, 1995 to June 12, 1995 (60 FR 9012, February 16, 1995). Therefore, the Commission's

schedule in these investigations conforms with Commerce's extended schedule.

The Commission instituted the countervailing duty investigation concerning Italy on November 28, 1994 (60 FR 2984, January 12, 1995). The investigations were requested in a petition filed on June 23, 1994, on behalf of the Gulf States Tube Division of Quanex Corp., Rosenberg, TX.

Participation in the Investigations and Public Service List

Any person having already filed an entry of appearance in the countervailing duty investigation is considered a party in the antidumping investigations. Any other persons wishing to participate in the investigations as parties must file an entry of appearance with the Secretary to the Commission, as provided in section 201.11 of the Commission's rules, not later than twenty-one (21) days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. The Secretary will prepare a public service list containing the names and addresses of all persons, or their representatives, who are parties to the investigations upon the expiration of the period for filing entries of appearance.

Limited Disclosure of Business Proprietary Information (BPI) Under an Administrative Protective Order (APO) and BPI Service List

Pursuant to section 207.7(a) of the Commission's rules, the Secretary will make BPI gathered in these final investigations available to authorized applicants under the APO issued in the investigations, provided that the application is made not later than twenty-one (21) days after the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**. A separate service list will be maintained by the Secretary for those parties authorized to receive BPI under the APO.

Staff Report

The prehearing staff report in these investigations will be placed in the nonpublic record on June 7, 1995, and a public version will be issued thereafter, pursuant to section 207.21 of the Commission's rules.

Hearing

The Commission will hold a hearing in connection with these investigations beginning at 9:30 a.m. on June 20, 1995, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Requests to appear at the hearing should be filed in writing with the Secretary to the Commission on or before June 11, 1995.

A nonparty who has testimony that may aid the Commission's deliberations may request permission to present a short statement at the hearing. All parties and nonparties desiring to appear at the hearing and make oral presentations should attend a prehearing conference to be held at 9:30 a.m. on June 13, 1995, at the U.S. International Trade Commission Building. Oral testimony and written materials to be submitted at the public hearing are governed by §§ 201.6(b)(2), 201.13(f), and 207.23(b) of the Commission's rules. Parties are strongly encouraged to submit as early in the investigation as possible any requests to present a portion of their hearing testimony in camera.

Written Submissions

Each party is encouraged to submit a prehearing brief to the Commission. Prehearing briefs must conform with the provisions of section 207.22 of the Commission's rules; the deadline for filing is June 14, 1995. Parties may also file written testimony in connection with their presentation at the hearing, as provided in section 207.23(b) of the Commission's rules, and posthearing briefs, which must conform with the provisions of section 207.24 of the Commission's rules. The deadline for filing posthearing briefs is June 28, 1995; witness testimony must be filed no later than three (3) days before the hearing. In addition, any person who has not entered an appearance as a party to the investigations may submit a written statement of information pertinent to the subject of the investigations on or before June 28, 1995. All written submissions must conform with the provisions of section 201.8 of the Commission's rules; any submissions that contain BPI must also conform with the requirements of sections 201.6, 207.3, and 207.7 of the Commission's rules.

In accordance with sections 201.16(c) and 207.3 of the rules, each document filed by a party to the investigations must be served on all other parties to the investigations (as identified by either the public or BPI service list), and a certificate of service must be timely filed. The Secretary will not accept a document for filing without a certificate of service.

Authority

These investigations are being conducted under authority of the Tariff Act of 1930, title VII. This notice is published pursuant to section 207.20 of the Commission's rules.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: February 21, 1995.

Donna R. Koehnke,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5001 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-P

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Finance Docket No. 32617]

CAGY Industries, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Redmont Railway Co., Inc.

CAGY Industries, Inc. (CAGY), a noncarrier, has filed a notice of exemption to continue in control of Redmont Railway Company, Inc. (Redmont), a wholly owned subsidiary of CAGY, upon Redmont becoming a class III rail carrier.¹

Redmont, a noncarrier, has concurrently filed a notice of exemption in Redmont Railway Company, Inc.—Lease and Operation Exemption—Certain Lines of Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority, Finance Docket No. 32616, to acquire by lease and to operate a 41.5-mile rail line segment owned by Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) between milepost IC-529.5 at Corinth, MS, and milepost IC-571.0 at Red Bay, AL.² Redmont will also acquire incidental trackage rights to operate over 2.2 miles of NS's track between NS milepost IC-529.5 at Corinth and NS milepost IC-527.3 at NS' Corinth Yard. The parties intended to consummate the proposed transaction on or after February 15, 1995.

CAGY controls two other nonconnecting class III rail carriers: Columbus and Greenville Railway Company (C&G), operating in

Mississippi, and the Chattooga & Chickamauga Railway Co. (CCKY), operating in Mississippi, Georgia, and Tennessee.³

CAGY states that: (1) Redmont will not connect with any of the other railroads in its corporate family; (2) the continuance in control is not a part of a series of anticipated transactions that would connect Redmont with any other railroad in its corporate family; and (3) the transaction does not involve a class I railroad. The transaction therefore is exempt from the prior approval requirements of 49 U.S.C. 11343. See 49 CFR 1180.2(d)(2).

As a condition to use of this exemption, any employees affected by the transaction will be protected by the conditions set forth in New York Dock Ry.—Control—Brooklyn Eastern Dist., 360 I.C.C. 60 (1979).

Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction. Pleadings must be filed with the Commission and served on: Eric M. Hocky, 213 West Miner Street, P.O. Box 796, West Chester, PA 19381-0796.

Decided: February 17, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5041 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Finance Docket No. 32615]

Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority—Acquisition Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company

Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority (MARA), a noncarrier, has filed an amended notice of exemption¹ to acquire approximately 41.5 miles of rail line owned by Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) between milepost IC-529.5 at Corinth, MS, and milepost IC-571.0 at Red Bay, AL.²

³The control of these carriers was authorized in CAGY Industries, Inc.—Control Exemption—Chattooga & Chickamauga Railway Co., Finance Docket No. 31422 (ICC served June 12, 1989).

¹The notice of exemption was originally filed on November 15, 1994. MARA filed a letter, on December 5, 1994, requesting a delay in the publication of this and two related notices of exemption. MARA claimed that it needed to meet state requirements following enactment of enabling legislation by Alabama and Mississippi authorizing the creation of MARA. On February 8, 1995, MARA filed this amended notice of exemption.

²The 41.5-mile line segment is within a NS rail line that is the subject of a feeder line application in *Sunshine Mills, Inc.—Feeder Line Acquisition—Norfolk Southern Railway Company Line Between*

Redmont Railway Company, Inc. (Redmont), a noncarrier, concurrently filed a notice of exemption in *Redmont Railway Company, Inc.—Lease and Operation Exemption—Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority*, Finance Docket No. 32616, to operate the line under lease from MARA. Additionally, CAGY Industries, Inc., a noncarrier, concurrently filed a notice of exemption in *CAGY Industries, Inc.—Continuance in Control Exemption—Redmont Railway Company, Inc.*, Finance Docket No. 32617, to continue in control of Redmont and two other class III rail carriers, once Redmont becomes a rail carrier. The parties intended to consummate the transaction on or after February 15, 1995.

Any comments must be filed with the Commission and served on: William P. Jackson, Jr., Jackson & Jessup, P.C., P.O. Box 1240, 3426 North Washington Boulevard, Arlington, VA 22210.

This notice is filed under 49 CFR 1150.31. If the notice contains false or misleading information, the exemption is void *ab initio*. Petitions to revoke the exemption under 49 U.S.C. 10505(d) may be filed at any time. The filing of a petition to revoke will not automatically stay the transaction.

Decided: February 17, 1995.

By the Commission, David M. Konschnik, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Vernon A. Williams,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 95-5042 Filed 2-28-95; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-P

[Finance Docket No. 32616]

Redmont Railway Company, Inc.—Lease and Operation Exemption—Certain Lines of Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority

Redmont Railway Company, Inc. (Redmont), a noncarrier, has filed a notice of exemption to acquire by lease and to operate a 41.5-mile rail line segment owned by Norfolk Southern Railway Company (NS) between milepost IC-529.5 at Corinth, MS, and milepost IC-571.0 at Red Bay, AL.¹

Corinth, MS and Haleyville, AL, Finance Docket No. 32337. MARA asserts that upon approval of this acquisition exemption, Sunshine Mills, Inc., the feeder line applicant and an active supporter of the instant acquisition, is expected to request dismissal of the pending application.

¹Redmont originally filed the notice of exemption on November 15, 1994. By letter filed December 5, 1994, the Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority (MARA) requested the Commission to suspend the processing of the notice and the concurrently filed related notices in Finance Docket Nos. 32615 and 32617. MARA states that counsel for Redmont concurred in the request. MARA claimed that it needed to meet State

¹ CAGY originally filed the notice of exemption on November 15, 1994. By letter filed December 5, 1994, the Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority (MARA) requested the Commission to suspend the processing of the notice and the concurrently filed related notices in Finance Docket Nos. 32615 and 32616. MARA states that counsel for CAGY concurred in the request. MARA claimed that it needed to meet State requirements following enactment of enabling legislation by Alabama and Mississippi authorizing the creation of MARA which it states had not been completed at the time the original notice was filed. On February 8, 1995, CAGY filed an amended verified notice of exemption.

² In a related notice of exemption in *Mississippi-Alabama Railroad Authority—Acquisition Exemption—Norfolk Southern Railway Company*, Finance Docket No. 32615, MARA seeks to acquire from NS the 41.5-mile rail line segment. This 41.5-mile rail line segment is embraced within a feeder line application in *Sunshine Mills, Inc.—Feeder Line Acquisition—Norfolk Southern Railway Company Line Between Corinth, MS, and Haleyville, AL*, Finance Docket No. 32337. NS and MARA state that upon approval of the acquisition transaction, Sunshine is expected to request dismissal of the feeder line application.